

We live at 9 Spilsbury Close, Willington, Derby, DE65 6PF. We bought our home in 2002, from a couple whom since the estate had been built, got the backs up of a few Villagers for building a wall and blocking their view down the River Trent Willington Derby. We are part of the Alternative Stakeholders who have contributed to "The Lost Ways Scam".

Villagers got together and decided they were going to make this couples lives hell. They hatched a plan and took it to the local government offices to say there was a Bridleway crossing the bottom of their garden, and to certain extent won over the Council and the couple were made to take part of the wall down. Not convinced the villagers had caused this couple enough grief, they hatched another plan to say there had always been a river side walk, got many people to lie and state they had walked a path along the river for years. All evidence lettered were virtually word for word, some being very strangely obvious in similar hand writing. There was something happening that the local papers reported almost every week they lived in Willington. And there are bullies like these villagers all over the country.

Upon Our purchase of Spilsbury Close, we had knowledge of the actions of a few, and knew Derbyshire County Council were duty bound to investigate (illegal). The villagers did not know us so couldn't really bully us. We were later just classed as outsiders with the Money.

We felt sure we had sufficient evidence, and Sworn affidavit from the previous land owner, the matter should never been considered in the 1st instance by DCC.

We had Solicitors involved (at personal expense) but this case of was sent to public inquiry.

- 1) Public Inquiry day 1, yes the Villagers turned out in forced (blackmailed into being there) The DCC had not put together a statement of case, so the Inquiry had to be adjourned, The inspector sitting, said it was unprecedented that a local government did not know how to conduct themselves, I might add at great personal cost to us, as we had to pay for representation, time off work. We felt as if we were being Ganged up on by local people.
- 2) Public Inquiry 2nd sitting, our representation informed the Inspector that the inquiry was illegal and should be held in a court of law, not a village hall, with Judge or Judges. We were still confident we had the word of the law on our side, sworn affidavit and the spoken testimony of Mr R Woodisse (previous Land Owner). The inquiry lasted 2 ½ days due to Villagers butting in and heckling. (Adding expense to us personally). We were awarded costs at this time, but when we applied after the decision, they told us we would have to have a judicial review. Costing more. Didn't both.
- 3) After some months a letter from the Inspectorate fell on the doorstep, and the worse day of our lives came, a decision to open up a footpath (illegally) had been taken by the inspector, (an ex – rambler) we could not believe it. No weight had been given to the Sworn Affidavit, also respect for privacy, safety, and personal property were never considered.
- 4) We had to endure a few locals using our gardens as a cul de sac, so they could nosey and was actually very spiteful to us and our neighbours. We had to build a fence to stop villagers dogs (off leads) coming right up to our back door without the owner in sight. Cctv evidence available.
- 5) We contacted our Solicitors, and it took another 14 months of enduring nosey parkers, bullies and dangerous dogs, walking all over our garden till we put the fence up, and a personal breakdown before we got to High Court. A Judge quashed the order. We were elated that Justice had been done. Finally.

Time went on. daggered looks from the few, then the local papers rang to ask us our thoughts on the appeal that was going through on the Judge's decision. A letter from DCC landed on our doorstep a few days later, saying they had been granted an appeal, at this point if we hadn't continued to fight the right decision, there would be a path going through our garden less than 20 foot from our back door.

Went back to High Court and the 3 Lord Justices sitting, stopped our council Sir John Hobson from continuing, left the court room. After 10 minutes came back in and personally apologised to John and I for ever allowing the appeal, and Lord Justice Laws confessed he had not spent the time he should have before allowing the Appeal. The few villagers whom attend the Appeal after arriving almost an hour late, heckled the Justices. We left feeling again elated but also deflated because of the grief and years of fighting for our right to the truth.

In 2009 DCC started the whole procedure again, almost from square one, who gives them the right to ignore the LAW, DCC stated with the Judge Quashing the Order, they had been forced to re- investigate, because in their eyes the matter is unresolved. well it keeps someone in a job doesn't it. At a cost to the tax payer of utterly £1000000's to proceed with an illegal procedure again. How many times of again and again does it take? I might hyson to add it has taken the DCC 3 ½ to get to the stage of sending it to the planning inspectorate. This through Solicitors (legally) cost us personal expense once more. We rang and asked why it has taken so long to get to next stage, well Mr Bird yours isn't the only case we have to deal with. We did ask for an account of expenses from DCC and the secretary of state, on the cost of our case, it is not recorded (as it is a bottomless pit). We were told it doesn't matter how much it costs, surely everyone and every company including the Secretary of state has to account for expenditure how much should it cost both privately and publicly. No wonder the country is in the mess it is, (Need an investigation).

The law needs to change, this Bill is ruining lives all over the country and in some cases worse, safety for families, personal privacy and property need to be taken into account, Lies and Bullying should be punished, but no lay person can accuse someone of lying (inspectors). compensation to the land owner should be in place, the procedure should be held in a court of law, and costs should be in place like it is with planning permissions, We continue our fight for our right to privacy with DCC on this matter, but should not have to as it is illegal. The law speaks for its self.
Fought and Legally WON Twice. End Of

John Bird
Sandra Norman
9 Spilsbury Close
Willington
DERBY
DE65 6PF
01283 702034
07970 761959

September 2013

sandranorman@btinternet.com